Contribution of GM Technology to the Livestock Sector

Pocket K No. 11

GLOBAL KNOWLEDGE CENTER ON CROP BIOTECHNOLOGY

Pocket Ks are Pockets of Knowledge, packaged information on crop biotechnology products and related issues available at your fingertips. They are produced by the Global Knowledge Center on Crop Biotechnology (http://www.isaaa.org/kc). For more information, please contact the International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) SEAsiaCenter c/o IRRI, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines

Tel: +63 2 845 0563
Fax: +63 2 845 0606
E-mail: knowledge.center@isaaa.org

First Printing January 2003
Revised January 2006

ISAAA
INTERNATIONAL SERVICE FOR THE ACQUISITION OF AGRI-BIOTECH APPLICATIONS



Contribution of GM Technology to the Livestock Sector

Approximately 90 million hectares, or about 1.2 billion acres of genetically modified (GM) crops are currently grown worldwide. The main GM crops grown commercially are soybean (54.4 mha), corn (21.2 mha), cotton (9.8 mha), and canola (4.6 mha).

The introduction of GM crops has produced significant benefits to both farmers and consumers. GM crops have minimized the use of pesticides and provided higher crop yields; consumers benefited in the form of improved quality products (e.g., canola and soybean with modified oils). Currently, more than 80 GM crop events/lines have been approved for food and/or feed use.

GM crops have also benefited the livestock sector as they have increased yields of feed ingredient, have better quality traits, and are safer for livestock. As a source of livestock feed components, the relevant GM crops include corn, canola, cottonseed, soybean, and potato. These crops are principally used in livestock feed rations either as an energy and/or protein source.

Future Demand for Livestock Products and Feed Grains

The demand for livestock products will increase dramatically as population increases. Moreover, with increasing urbanization and rising income in many parts of the developing world, per capita consumption of meat, milk, and eggs is expected to rise by about 2%[1]. Global demand for meat is also forecast to increase more than 55% of current consumption by 2020, with most of the increase occurring in developing countries[2].

Thus the demand for feed grain will increase by 3% per year in developing countries and 0.5% in developed countries. On the average, less than 3 kg of feed grain are required to produce a kilo of livestock meat and less than a kilo of feed grain per kg of milk.

Clearly, increased grain production for food and feed has to be generated from increased yield because there is limited opportunity to increase cultivated land area without adverse environmental impacts.

GMO Materials in GM Feed Ingredients

PHOTO COURTESY OF LORI ALDEN

Transgenic crops currently approved for use as animal feed are modified for herbicide tolerance, insect resistance, modified oil content, and virus resistance. Many of the proteins expressed in GM crops have a history of safe usage and/or are similar to naturally occurring proteins. For example, insect resistant transgenic crops express proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*), a common soil-borne bacterium that has been commercially used worldwide as a microbial insecticide by organic farmers. Expressed proteins (CP4 EPSPS) in glyphosate herbicide tolerant GM crops are similar to endogenous EPSPS already present in foods[3].

Conclusion

Extensive safety assessments conducted with plant biotech products provide equal or greater assurance of safety for food and feed use. There is a growing body of scientifically valid information that indicates safety of GM crops for feed use.

The first generation of GM crops has directly benefited livestock production through safer and more abundant feed source. Future GM crops with enhanced output traits have the profound effect of improving animal productivity and performance. These innovations will contribute to helping feed the growing world population.

REFERENCES

1 Delgado, C., M. Rosegrant, H. Steinfeld, S. Ehui and C. Courbois. 1999. Livestock to 2020: The next food revolution. *Food, Agriculture, and the Environment Discussion Paper 28*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC; Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy; International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya.
2 Rosegrant, M.W., M.S. Paisner, S. Meijer and J. Witcover. 2001. *2020 Global Food Outlook: Trends, Alternatives, and Choices*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
3 MacKenzie, D. and M. McLean. 2002. Who's afraid of GM feed? *Feed Mix*, 10(3):16-19.
4 Gilbert, R. 2000. Future economic benefits of GMOs in animal feeds with reference to soybeans and corn. *Agriwatch Livestock Newsletter*, 27 September 2000.
5 Phipps, R.H. 2002. Safety of food products derived from livestock receiving GM feed ingredients. International Conference on Food and Feed Safety. Doha, Qatar, September.
6 Clark, J.H. and I.R. Ipharraguerre. 2001. Livestock performance: feeding biotech crops. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 84(E. Suppl.):E9-E18.
7 Beever, D.E. and C.F. Kemp. 2000. Safety issues associated with the DNA in animal feed derived from genetically modified crops: A review of scientific and regulatory procedures. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews, Series B: Livestock Feeds and Feeding*, 70(3):175-182.
8 Beever, D.E. and R.H. Phipps. 2001. The fate of plant DNA and novel proteins in feeds for farm livestock: A United Kingdom perspective. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79(E. Suppl.):E290-E295.
9 Thomas, B.R. and K.J. Bradford. 2001. Crop biotechnology: Feeds for livestock. http://sbc.ucdavis.edu/outreach/abc/livestock_feeds_abc.htm
10 Aumaitre, A., K. Aulrich, A. Chesson, G. Flachowsky and G. Piva. 2002. New feeds from genetically modified plants: Substantial equivalence, digestibility, and safety for animals and the food chain. *Livestock Production Science*, 74(3):223-238.

Current Use of GM Feed Ingredients in Livestock Diets

Feed grain usage as a percentage of total crop production ranges from 18% for wheat, 52% for sorghum, 70% for corn, 75% for oats, to more than 90% of oil seed meals[4]. Livestock producers in many parts of the world prefer corn grain and soybean meal for energy and/or protein source in both monogastric and ruminant diets.

CANOLA COUNCIL OF CANADA PHOTO

About 90 million metric tons of GM corn grains are produced worldwide. Given that 70% of total corn grain production are used for livestock feed, then at least 65 million metric tons of GM corn grains are used in livestock diets annually. In the case of soybean, about 70 million metric tons of soybean meal derived from GM soybean are fed to livestock per annum[5].

GM Crops Used for Livestock Feed

Feed Crop	Trait(s)	GM Line(s)	Countries
Sugar beet	Herbicide tolerance	3	Canada, Japan, USA
Canola	Herbicide tolerance	19	Australia, Canada, Japan, Philippines, USA
	Modified fatty acid	2	Canada, USA
Soybean	Herbicide tolerance	4	Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Japan, Mexico, Philippines, South Africa, Switzerland, UK, USA, Uruguay
	Modified fatty acid	3	Canada, Japan, USA
Cotton	Insect resistance	4	Argentina, Australia, China, Canada, Japan, Mexico, Philippines, South Africa, USA
	Herbicide tolerance	3	Argentina, Australia, Canada, Japan, Philippines, USA
	Insect resistance/ Herbicide tolerance	3	Argentina, Australia, Canada, Japan, Philippines, USA
Potato	Insect resistance	14	Australia, Canada, Philippines, USA
	Insect resistance/Virus resistance	6	Australia, Canada, Japan, Philippines, USA
Wheat	Herbicide tolerance	4	Canada
Corn	Insect resistance	3	Argentina, Australia, Canada, European Union, Japan, Netherlands, Philippines, South Africa, Switzerland, USA
	Herbicide tolerance	10	Argentina, Canada, EU, Japan, Philippines, Switzerland, USA
	Insect resistance/ Herbicide tolerance	13	Argentina, Australia, Canada, EU, Japan, Philippines, South Africa, Switzerland, UK, USA
	Root worm resistance	2	Canada, Japan, Philippines, USA
Sunflower	Herbicide tolerance	1	Canada

Source of data: *Agbios GM Database (2005)*. http://www.agbios.com/database

Safety Assessment of GM Products

Extensive testing and a long approval process accompany every GM crop introduction. The approval process includes comprehensive analyses to ensure food, feed, and environmental safety before entering the marketplace.

Generally, the first step in any safety assessment of GM-derived products is to determine if the product is substantially equivalent (except for defined differences) to conventional counterpart varieties. Further analysis then focuses on the evaluation of the defined differences. Specifically for evaluating food and feed safety, a set of factors is used for assessing potential safety risks of the host plant, gene donor(s), and introduced protein(s).

Safety of GM Feed Crops

Safety concerns on the use of GM crops as feed ingredients relate to the following questions:

- Are GM crops safe as feeds for livestock?
- Is animal performance affected by GM crops?
- Could transgenic materials be transferred to and accumulate in milk, meat, and eggs?

Feeding trials have been conducted to examine the safety and efficacy of GM feeds for farm livestocks[6]. Based on these studies, there is no evidence of significantly altered nutritional composition, deleterious effects, or the occurrence of transgenic DNA or protein in animal products derived from animals fed with GM feed ingredients.



USDA PHOTO

Animals perform in comparable manner when fed biotech feed ingredients as compared to conventional products. Feeding of GM crops has not shown any negative effects of feed intake, whole tract digestibility or animal productivity in studies with chickens, pigs, sheep, beef cattle, and dairy cows[6].

Scientific studies have also demonstrated that transgenic DNA and/or protein expressed in GM crops are not detectable in the raw food products derived from animals fed with transgenic crops[7,8]. Animal digestive systems rapidly degrade DNA and proteins. Moreover, studies have shown that ensiling and feed processing results in DNA fragmentation[5].

Based on the safety analyses required for GM crops, consumption of milk, meat, and eggs derived from farm animals fed with transgenic crops could be considered as safe as traditional counterparts.

Future GM Feed Crops

USDA PHOTO

GM feed ingredients of the future will benefit livestock with improved feed qualities. Future GM feed crops will have enhanced nutritional characteristics[9, 10].

Current research is aimed at manipulating levels of proteins, amino acids, oil, and carbohydrates in major feed crops. GM crops being developed with improved nutritional characteristics include higher concentration of methionine and increased protein digestibility of lupins, increased lysine content in canola and soybean, increased levels of free and protein-bound threonine in lucerne, and reduced phytate content in corn grain[10]. Researchers are also looking for ways to improve digestibility of wheat, rye or barley. Many of these biotech crops are already under field evaluation.

The use of insect protected corn is already improving feed quality by decreasing mycotoxin contamination. The presence of mycotoxins in feed grains or ingredients makes them unfit for animal (or human) consumption and can cause serious health risk. GM crops expressing antigens from various microbes are also being developed. Edible vaccines delivered via feeds have the potential to control economically important diseases in livestock.